

|   |  |                                       |  |
|---|--|---------------------------------------|--|
| <b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/713,113       | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>BRENNER ET AL. |  |
|   | <b>Examiner</b><br>Michele K. Joike, Ph.D. | <b>Art Unit</b><br>1636               |  |

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** Allowed

 (1) Michele K. Joike, Ph.D.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

 (2) Glenna Hendricks.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 27 June 2006
**Time:** 10:00 a.m.
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

*none*

Claims discussed:

*13 and 16*

Prior art documents discussed:

*none*
**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*Amending claim 13 to put it in condition for allowance. Filing a terminal disclaimer for claim 16.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)